



Attachment 1
Summary of Past Performance Industry Outreach Survey Results


1) 95% of respondents support allowing contractors to submit a self-assessment.




1.a) 64 % recommend multiple cycles while 36% recommend an annual self-assessment at the end of the rating period.



1.b) 74 % experienced some resistance to providing input to the CPARS rating while 10 % were specifically not allowed to provide any input.




2) 77 % recommend that CPARS ratings should align to QASP, SLAs, Award Fee Plans and other contract level metrics. Many who object state that other subjective quality factors such as customer satisfaction rates should apply in some cases.




3) 84% recommend some type of CPARS Lite, but it must:


- carry the same weight in source selection as a regular CPAR
- Include the same rating elements (even if it does not contain a separate narrative for each factor)
- Applies to Fixed Price Delivery of Commercial Products (no services)
- Includes a single narrative block to explain special circumstances resulting in ratings above or below satisfactory.




3.a) 34 % prioritize the use of CPARS Lite for Fixed Price Commercial Products while 27% each prefer using CPARS Lite to replace Past Performance Questionnaires and Non-complex services under \$500K.



4) 73% rate Contractor Self Assessments as the most efficient CPARS input idea.



5) 68 % rate the use of CPARS for source selection as a means to reduce the proliferation of Past Performance Questionnaires as the most effective CPARS output idea



6) 66% report that CPARS ratings are issued late (over 120 days)

